Advantage Partnership



公証役場 アドバンテージ・パートナーシップ 外国法事務弁護士事務所

GPO Box 2577 Sydney NSW 2001 Tel: (02) 9221 755 Fax: (02) 9221 7230 ABN: 400 739 395 53

Streaming Broadcast Television – Is it Legal?

- The streaming applications in themselves are not illegal unless they carry the channels of major broadcasters that they do not have the licence for?
- Who makes the copy and transmission? The individual user or the service provider? If it is the individual user, then the service may be legal in terms of copyright law as the service itself just helps the individual user's fair-use watching and copying the broadcast for personal use only. Is it the responsibility of the user to make sure they are only streaming content with the correct licence?
- Centralised applications subscription only monthly or annual fee multimedia corporations happily provide content for download as they are making money from it.
- Decentralised applications no gatekeeper to collect revenue commercial broadcaster's worst nightmare

Japan – January 2011

A company providing real-time Internet broadcasts of Japanese television programmes to subscribers overseas was found to be in violation of the Copyright Law by the Supreme Court.

Subscribers purchased a commercially available transmission appliance, which they entrusted to the company. With an initial enrolment fee and a monthly users fee, subscribers located overseas or in Japan outside of Tokyo could view programmes from key broadcasters in real time.

The focus of the lawsuit was whether or not 'ManekiTV' constituted an "act of public transmission" which would signify a violation of the broadcasters' copyrights. The judges

in the lower courts ruled that the service was a one-on-one relationship between each

subscriber and the company, and therefore did not constitute public transmission. The

Supreme Court, however, concluded that anyone could subscribe to the service, and

that the company had proactively transmitted programmes to an unspecified number of

people.

The ruling does not suggest that the transmission of programmes from one individual to

another is illegal. For example, it is possible for Japanese living overseas to entrust

family members or friends in Japan with a transmission appliance in order to watch

Japanese television programmes elsewhere.

America – August 2012

A federal appeals court ruled that an upstart service (ivi Inc) that streamed broadcast

television over the internet is not a cable system and therefore is not protected by the

Copyright Act.

The U.S. Copyright Act requires that broadcasters license their content to satellite and

cable companies under a regulated pricing scheme known as compulsory licensing – but

not to online streaming service such as ivi.

The court ruled:

ivi retransmitted the plaintiffs' copyrighted programming without their consent;

• The quantity and quality of efforts put into creating television programming,

retransmission and advertising revenues, distributions models and schedules

would all be adversely affected;

These harms would extend to other copyright holders of television programming.

Continued live retransmissions of copyrighted television programming over the

Internet without consent would thus threaten to destabilise the entire industry.

AUSTRALIA / NEW ZEALAND / JAPAN